# PILOT STUDY REPORT

ON

# GLOBAL COMPARISON OF BUILDING CONTROL SYSTEMS

by

Barnabas H.K. Chung Man-wai Chan Edwin H.W. Chan Willy Leung

> Hong Kong 1996

This report documented the research findings of a pilot comparative study on global building control systems. The study was initiated by Barnabas H.K. Chung, a Governor of the World Organization of Building Officials (WOBO), in conjunction with the WOBO Fourth World Congress and supported by a research team at the Hong Kong Polytechnic University led by Man-wai Chan, Associate Professor of the Department of Building and Real Estate. The questionnaire was designed by Barnabas H.K. Chung and the responses were analysed by the University team. The purposes of the study are to compare and contrast different statutory building control systems under different built environments and to identify variation of practises by different building control professionals. 125 responses from 10 countries were received and the findings will hopefully form a basis for future research on global building control systems.

#### 1. Introduction:

A pilot study on global building control systems was initiated in 1995 in conjunction with the organisation of the WOBO Fourth World Congress of Building Officials. This research aimed at gathering information on global building control systems and to explore the differences among different countries/local authorities in executing such control in practise. A further aim of the study was to explore the very basic guiding principles and philosophy adopted by different authorities and how these are affected by the different built environment and socio-political background.

## 2. The study:

The pilot study was carried out through questionnaires mailshot via the WOBO network. 125 returns were received mostly from UK and Australia. Some very interesting returns were also obtained from Sweden, Cyprus, USA, Canada, Poland, New Zealand, Hong Kong and Nigeria. The distribution of the respondents' countries of origin apparently reflects WOBO membership distribution.

Fig.1 Respondents statistics:

Countries:	No. of responses:
United Kingdom	81
Australia	32
Canada	3
USA	3
Cyprus	1
Poland	1
Sweden	1
New Zealand	1
Hong Kong	1
Nigeria	1
TOTAL:	125

The questionnaires collected information on the authorities' background such as population, characteristics of built environment and the social/legal background of the respondents. The latter part of the questionnaires concentrated on the practises of building control. The survey results are summarised in Fig.2

Fig.2 SUMMARY OF SURVEY FINDINGS

	Country		UK.	AUS	CAN	USA	СҮР	нк.	NIG	POL	SWE	NZ.	Total
1	Requirement of building approval	Yes	79	32	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	123
		No	2	-	-	-	-			_	-	-	2
2	The way fees are charged by the authority	Percentage of the cost	3	5	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	.	8
		Per unit area	8	11	-	-	-	1	1	-	1		22
		Per unit cost	18	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	27
		Negotiation	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	- "	-	
		Per value	34	2	<u>-</u>	_	-	-	-	1		-	37
		Fixed fee	-	1		_	-	-		-	-		1
		Varies	8	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	10
3	Time constraints for plan approval	1 week or shorter	. 4	5	-	1.	_	<b>.</b>	_	_	_	-	10
		1-2 weeks	3	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
		3-4 weeks	26	10	1	-		-	-	-	l	l	39
		5-6 weeks	41	10	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	53
		7-8 weeks	1	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	_	1
		9 weeks or longer	1	4	1	1	I	1	1	-	-	-	10
4	Room for the appeal to refusal of approval/	Yes	75	32	3	3	1	1	1	l	1	1	119
	permit	No	3	-			-			-	-	-	3
5	Building Plans to be certified by	Design Professionals (Structural Engineer/Architects)	6	10	2	2	1	1 (AP)	_	1	1		24
		Private inspectors or certifiers	8	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13
		No such kind of party or other	42	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48
		Public bodies	2	3	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	6
		Depends	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5

	Country		UK.	AUS	CAN	USA	СҮР	нк.	NIG	POL	SWE	NZ.	Total
6	Requirement of separate permit for	Yes	20	7	1	2	1	1	-	_	l	-	33
	commencing of works	No	58	25		1	-		1	1		1	87
7	Parties involved in undertaking building	All professionals including contractor	-	6	11	-	-	1	-	_	-	-	8
	works supervision	Architects/ Owner	8	4	1	1	1		-	-		ļ -	15
		Contractor/Builder		6		1		-	1	-	-		8
		Both private and public bodies	1	-		1	<u> </u>	-	-	1			3
		Public	24	5				-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	-	30
		Private certifier/ inspector	1	2	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	4
		Either private or public bodies	12	-	-	-		-	<u> </u>		<u>-</u>	-	12
		Not necessary	16	1		-	-	-	-	-	-	1	18
8	Requirement of building officials to inspect	Yes	75	28	3	3	_	-	1	1	İ	1	112
	building works	No	3	4	-		1	1	-		_	-	9
9	Parties responsible for ensuring compliance with Building Code	All parties ( including private and public )	9	6	1	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	16
		Applicant/Developer/Owner	27	5	1	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	36
		Public Authority	22	9	_	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	34
		Contractor/Builder	4	7	-	_	_	1	-		-		12
		Private party only	10	2			-		-		-		12
		Private Certifier/ Inspector		2	-	-	<u> </u>	1	-	-	-	-	3
10	Certification of satisfactory completion of works	Either Local Authority or approved certifier/inspector	5	-	-	-	_	1	i	_	-	-	7
		Applicant/Agent/ Owner / Developer	1	3	3	-	1		-	-	-	-	8
		Public Authority	60	16	-	I	•	-	-	1	l	1	80
	1	Builder/Contractor	1	6	•	-	-	1	1		-	-	9
		Others	1	3		i	-	l -	_	_	-	_	5

	Country		UK.	AUS	CAN	USA	СУР	нк.	NIG	POL	SWE	NZ.	Total
11	Requirement of Occupation Permit	Yes	24	30	2	_	_	1	-	1	1	3	62
		No	54	2	1	-	1	-	1		-	1	60
12	Registration of building professionals	Yes	15	20	3	3	1	1	1	1	-	1	46
		No	64	12	_	<b>-</b>	-		-	-	1	-	77
13	Registration of Contractors (Mostly Specialist Contractors)	Yes No	1 78	24_ 8	3	3	1	1	1	- 1	<u>-</u>	1 -	32 91
	Specialist Contractors)	140	1 70		,	_	_		<del>                                     </del>	1	1	_	71
14a	Requirement of Separate Planning Approval		75	30	3	3	l	_	-	-	-	1	113
		No	2	2	-	<b>-</b>	-	Į	1	1	1	-	8
l4b	Authority involved in issuance of Separate Planning Approval	District Council	52	24	1	-		-	_			-	77
		Planning Department/Authority	18	5	1	3	3 ·	-		-		-	30
		Others	3	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	l	5
15	Requirement of Fire Protection Permit	Yes	48	13	2	2	1	l	-	-	-	-	66
		No	29	19	1	1	-	-	1	1	1	1	54
16	Requirement of Separate Land Use Permit	Yes	14	11	1	2	-	1	1	-	-	1	31
		No	63	21	2	1	1	-	-	1	1	-,	90
17	Same system to be utilized in other cities	Yes	70	28	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	110
	of the same state	No No	7	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11
18	Same system to be exercised in other cities	Yes	72	9	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	92
	in the same country	No	5	23	-	-	-			-	-	-	28

- 3.13 About half of the countries responded require registration of contractors. Most local authorities in UK do not require building contractors to be registered. Whereas in Australia, the ratio of those authorities requiring contractor registration to those not requiring is 3 to 1.
- 3.14 Most countries require separate planning approval except Nigeria, Poland and Sweden.
- 3.15 There is no consensus as to the requirement of fire protection certificate/permit. Countries like Nigeria, Poland and New Zealand do not need such a certificate/permit. For USA and Canada the ratio is 2 to 1 for those authorities requiring fire protection certificate/permit against those which do not require such certificate/permit.
- 3.16 Most do not require separate land use permit. Some authorities in US, Canada, Australia and UK do need such permit.
- 3.17 Most authorities responded state that the systems they are practising are the same throughout the country or states/province. (In effect, most are probably wrong?)

#### 4. Comments on results:

- 4.1 The ten countries that responded (apart from Poland and Sweden) practise common law and are to some extent influenced by the British legal system. (Hong Kong is still a British Colony till 1997.) This probably results in some similarity of their responses. Naturally, a more diverse result may be obtained if responses from more countries can be obtained.
- 4.2 Apparently, there appears to be a global consensus on the underlying principles for building control: namely public health, safety and facilitation/control of building development.
- 4.3 The global differences as revealed appear to centre on the practicality in the execution and how different countries adapt to their environmental and socio-economic factors in accomplishing their stated goals.
- 4.4 Differences in the building control systems are likely the results of differences in:

the administrative and legal system
the political system
the built environment of the individual countries/council
the extent of professional liabilities
geographical and environmental influences.

4.5 Other practice-related issues may be regarded as means to "safeguarding the liabilities of the building officials". It is then interesting to note that different countries have different approaches in relation to:

certification of building plans (e.g. plan certifiers) registration of contractors registration of professionals (e.g. Authorized Person in Hong Kong)

building plan approval occupation permit requirements fire certificate requirements treatment of planning related matters

#### 5. Future research:

Global differences in building control may open to future correlational studies if enough data can be obtained from more countries/authorities. The following issues may be worth pursuing in a global context (amongst others):

- 5.1 The interrelationship between building development and Building Control systems.
- 5.2 The influence and effectiveness of computer and Information Technology in Building Control.
- 5.3 The global emphasis on Quality and its influence on Building Control.
- 5.4 The conflict of private vs public participation in Building Control.
- 5.5 Integration or segregation of Building Control from environmental management and country planning.
- 5.6 Liabilities in Building Control and professional indemnities.
- 5.7 Building Control practice and the built environment.

### 6. Summary:

- This is probably the first study on global building control systems. The questionnaire which is mainly open-ended obviously need refining and updating. Maybe the study can be automated and regularised in future through the establishment of a WOBO WWW homepage.
- Although the research tools used are generally unsophisticated and the outcome are for indication only, some interesting differences are identified and they point to areas for future research. The research may, for example, develop further through correlational and regression analysis on the performance of building control against characteristics of control systems and practices in a global context. Such findings may be more beneficial to enhancing the profession and identifying areas for improvement in the long run.
- 6.3 The purposes of research are to foster better understanding of the subject matter and to promote the interest of the community. Maybe the long-term goal of the research is to establish a Global Best Practice/Benchmark in building control through collaboration with WOBO.

6.4 The study carried out so far was mainly fact-finding. On-going opinion or perceptive surveys will serve to broaden the scope and purposes of the study and further promote the professionalism of Building Control.

## Bibliography:

- 1. Cooling P., Shacklock V, Scarrett D. Legislation for the Built Environment Donhead, 1993
- 2. Downward A., Building Control A guide to the Law CEM, 1992
- 3. People's Republic of China Construction Regulations (1991-92)
- 4. DOE, Product approval, quality control and building control procedures in Sweden, The Netherlands and Denmark BRE 1974
- 5. Hong Kong Government, Buildings Ordinance and related regulations

# Appendix:

- 1. Open Letter to Building Control Authorities.
- 2. The Questionnaire.

## **Further information:**

The research team welcomes suggestions and advice from members of WOBO. For further information and collaboration in research please contact:

Barnabas H.K. Chung 13/F Pioneer Centre 750 Nathan Road Mong Kok Kowloon Hong Kong

Fax:

(852) 2973 0561

M.W. Chan or Edwin Chan Department of Building & Real Estate Hong Kong Polytechnic University Hung Hom Kowloon Hong Kong

E-Mail:

bsmwchan@polyu.edu.hk or bsedchan@polyu.edu.hk

Fax:

(852) 2764 2572



From the Congress Chairman's Office Barnabas H K Chung

5/F Club Lusitano Building 16 Ice House Street, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2537-3200 \* Fax: (852) 2973-0561

June 10, 1995

To the Government Authority responsible for the control of new building constructions

Dear Sir

#### Fourth World Congress, World Organization of Building Officials (WOBO)

I am pleased to inform you that the World Organization of Building Officials (WOBO) will be hosting its Fourth World Congress in Hong Kong from 2-8 November 1996, the theme of which is Built Environment at the Crossroads - Towards a Sustainable Future. We are expecting more than 500 building officials and building professionals from all over the world to meet at the Hong Kong Convention and Exhibition Centre with the purpose of revisiting key issues on building control and sharing experience on the latest development in building technology and construction.

In conjunction with the event and as a WOBO contribution to all nations, it is intended to collate comparative data on different building control systems on a worldwide basis. Hopefully this will provide a ready reference for building officials and to foster further exchange of expertise and experience.

I would be most grateful therefore if you could complete the attached proforma as far as possible (providing alternative answers on separate sheets where appropriate) and return it to me or our Congress Secretariat by mail or by fax. Collated data will be sorted and published with copies distributed to delegates to the World Congress as well as to all contributors.

Please write to me if you need further clarification. Thank you in anticipation of your most valuable input.

Yours faithfully

Barnabas H K Chung, Governor WOBO

Chairman, Organizing Committee



MEETING PLANNERS (HK) LTD



World Organization of Building Officials

HongKongTouristAssociation

# WOBO FOURTH WORLD CONGRESS OF BUILDING OFFICIALS

### "BUILT ENVIRONMENT AT THE CROSSROADS - TOWARDS A SUSTAINABLE FUTURE"

Comparison of Building Control Systems	
City/Municipality:	
State/Province :	Country:
Building Control Organization :	
Title of Responsible Post:	
Address:	
Tel: Fax:	Postal Code :
Background	
Area of City (sq m):	Population (million):
Climate (e.g. tropical/mediteranian etc):	
Temperature : lowest°C in (month	) highest°C in
Wet Season (months):	Annual Rainfall (mm) :
Natural Phenomenon (e.g. typhoon/earthquak	e etc) :
Building Type :	
% high rise of	_storeys mainly for (use)
of mainly (construction)	
% medium rise of	storeys mainly for (use)
of mainly (construction)	
% low rise of	_storeys mainly for (use)
of mainly (construction)	
Building Control System (for new building	development)
Building approval/permit required:	YES NO
Building approval/permit granted by :	
Mandate (e.g. Building Act/Administrative C	order etc) :
Mandate enacted by (e.g. Parliament/Ministe	r of State etc) :

Application for approval to be made by (developer/archit	ect etc) :	
Fee to be charged per (e.g. total floor area/building cost	etc) :	
Application to be responded within (time period):		
Avenue for appeal against refusal of approval/permit:	YES	NO
Building plans to be certified by (design architect/private	certifier etc) : _	
to support the application /	instead of t	he application
Separate permit for commencement of work:	YES	NO
Building works to be supervised by:		
Building officials to inspect building works:	YES	NO
as a statutory duty /	as an option	nal monitoring
Liabilities to ensure compliance with building code rest v	with :	
Satisfactory completion of works to be certified by :		
Occupation permit of new building required:	YES	NO
Occupation permit issued by :		
Building professionals required to be registered:	YES	NO
What categories (e.g. Architects/Building Surveyors/Eng	gineers etc):	
Building contractors required to be registered:	YES	NO
What categories (e.g. General Contractors/Specialist Contractors/Spe	ntractors etc) : _	
Separate planning approval/permit required :	YES	NO
Planning approval/permit granted by :		
Separate fire protection certificate/permit required :	YES	NO
Fire protection certificate/permit issued by :		
Separate land use permit/licence required:	YES	NO
Land use permit/licence issued by :		
Same system as other cities in the same state:	YES	NO
Same system as other cities in the same country:	YES	NO
This form completed by :		
Title/Post :		
Contact Tel:		

Page 2 of 2 - Thank you very much for your input.